# Planning & Environment

# Planning Team Report

.

o Amend the Heigh	t Controls at Dutton Lane Ca	ar Park, Cabramatta	· · · · ·
Proposal Title :	To Amend the Height Controls	at Dutton Lane Car Park, Cal	bramatta
Proposal Summary :		Car Park site in Cabramatta	ant height controls and site specific in order to enable development for
PP Number :	PP_2016_FAIRF_002_00	Dop File No :	16/02510
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	09-Dec-2015	LGA covered :	Fairfield
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Fairfield City Council
State Electorate :	CABRAMATTA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : Du	tton Lane		
Suburb : Ca	bramatta City :	NSW	Postcode : 2166
Land Parcel : Re	fer to page 3 of the Planning Prop	osal for a list of DP numbers	
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Georgina Ballantine		
Contact Number :	0298601568		
Contact Email :	georgina.ballantine@planning.n	sw.gov.au	×
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Andrew Mooney		
Contact Number :	0297250214		
Contact Email :	amooney@fairfieldcity.nsw.gov	.au	
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Derryn John		
Contact Number :	0298601505		
Contact Email :	derryn.john@planning.nsw.gov.	au	
Land Release Data	2		
Growth Centre	10	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	

ï

MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes	2 7		
If No, comment ;	The Department's Lobbyist Contact Register has been checked on 15 February 2016 and there are no records of contact with lobbyists in relation to this proposal.			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No			
lf Yes, comment :	relation to communication Region West has not met	dge of the regional team, the Dep ns and meetings with Lobbyists h with any lobbyist in relation to th tings between other departmental	as been complied v is proposal, nor has	vith. Sydney the Director
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT			
	Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.			
		ents under the new legislation are tions and relevant public submiss	•• •	-
	The term relevant plannin	g application means:		
	- A formal request to the l environmental planning in	Minister, a council or the Secretar nstrument"	y to initiate the mak	ing of an
	-	009 specifies that a person who m equired to disclose all reportable	•	
	The Department has not r	eceived any disclosure statemen	ts for this Planning	Proposal.
upporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	3 July 2014 for the demol Car Park site, subdivisior	s granted by the Sydney West Jo ition of an at-grade car park and t of land and construction of a thr . The development is currently u	oilet block on the D ee storey building o	utton Lane
æ	Council (the applicant) ha construct an additional le Fairfield Local Environme	nt complied with the 10m building as advised that they propose to se vel of car parking. The additional ental 2013 without a change to the Consequently Council has lodged	eek development co height is not permi applicable height s	nsent to tted under standard and
	The submission of three i	proposals by Council is confusing		

Page 2 of 10

I.

29 Feb 2016 03:29 pm

1 8 1. Review of Planning Proposal prepared by GLN Planning (Tag D)

2. Planning Proposal prepared by Elton Consulting (Tag E)

3. Planning Proposal prepared by Fairfield City Council (Tag F)

Council has confirmed that Council's planning proposal (Tag F) is the planning proposal endorsed by the Councillors at the Council Meeting of 24 November 2015. Council has agreed to re-arrange the attachments to clearly identify Council's planning proposal as the principal endorsed proposal, the Elton proposal and GLN Planning's proposal as supplementary documents. It is recommended that a Gateway condition be included to confirm the re-arrangement and to request that Council's planning proposal be amended to clarify the significance of each proposal.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION

Dutton Lane Car Park is situated within the retail and commercial section of Cabramatta town and is surrounded by restaurants, take away establishments, and retail stores. An aerial map of the site can be found on page 2 of GLN Planning's review of the proposal.

The eastern end of the site will contain the proposed development, while the western side contains an existing multi-deck car park.

The site is classified as Operational land and is zoned B4 Mixed Use. The zoning and classification will remain unchanged. The maximum floor space ratio for the site is 2.5:1.

#### CONTROVERSY

The Dutton Lane Car Park site has been the source of public attention and controversy prior to the lodgement of the planning proposal, mainly due to residents' concerns over the lack of car parking in Cabramatta town centre. The Cabramatta Business Association has previously written to the Department on a number of occasions, objecting to the development application for the site and querying the validity of the reclassification process and Council's conduct. An independent assessor determined that the reclassification process had been completed in accordance with legislation.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

As Council holds the joint roles of owner/applicant and relevant planning authority for the proposal, Council prepared a probity plan to cover the assessment process and address the perception of a Council conflict of interest as the assessment authority for the proposal.

As a result, Council as the applicant engaged Elton Consulting to prepare the planning proposal to submit to Council. On receipt of the proposal, Council (as the relevant planning authority) engaged the services of an independent planning consultant, GLN Planning, to undertake an independent review and assessment of the proposal. Council then endorsed GLN Planning's recommendations and resolved that the proposal be forwarded to the Department.

#### DELEGATION

Due to the potential perceived conflict of interest Gouncil has not requested delegation for this proposal.

#### DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN

In conjunction with the planning proposal, Council intends to amend Cabramatta Town Centre Development Control Plan No. 5/2000 to ensure alignment with the proposed Local Environmental Plan amendment. Council is proposing to delete Clauses 5.1 and 5.2 contained in part 'B. Height - Precinct 2' of the Performance Criteria and Development Controls section of the Cabramatta Town Centre Development Control Plan. The wording of the deleted clauses can be viewed on page 2 of the Council Outcomes Committee 10 November 2015.

The review by GLN Planning agrees with Council that the amendment to the Development Control Plan is appropriate irrespective of the planning proposal, as it is not necessary for Development Control Plan controls to reiterate the controls already contained within the overarching Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013.

Page 3 of 10

External Supporting Notes :

#### Adequacy Assessment

# Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to amend the relevant height of buildings control for the Dutton Lane Car Park site in Cabramatta in order to enable development for an additional floor level for car parking. In addition the proposal seeks to amend a local provision to enable the development.

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

1. Amend Clause 7.3(5) to read as follows:

(5) The height of a building on land identified as 'Cabramatta - Area D' on the Town Centre Precinct Map must not exceed 10 metres unless the area above 10 metres in height will be developed and used for public car parking.

The following amendments to Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 are proposed:

2. Amend the Height of Buildings Map to provide for a 13 metre maximum height on the subject site.

# Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 GMREP No. 2 - Georges River Catchment

 e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

# SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES

This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed business or industrial zone.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

#### 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will alter a provision relating to urban land (including land zoned for residential, business, industrial, village or tourist purposes).

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

## 6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it allows a particular development

Page 4 of 10

29 Feb 2016 03:29 pm

to be carried out. The land is zoned B4 Mixed Use and the maximum specified building height for the site is 16m under Clause 4.3 of Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013. A 10m height limit applies to the surrounding land.

Clause 7.3 (5) also applies to the site and limits the height to 10m unless at least 50% of the site area will be developed for landscaped open space for public benefit. As the development application approved by the Joint Regional Planning Panel did not provide 50% of the site as landscaped open space and sought to remain within the 10m limit, the provisions of Clause 7.3(5) were not triggered.

The review of the planning proposal by GLN Planning addressed the requirement to retain Clause 7.3(5) in an amended form, rather than deleting the clause. The review recommended that Clause 7.3(5) be retained and amended to maintain a link between additional development and providing a public benefit. As such, the proposed amendment to Clause 7.3 (5) permits an increase in height without the requirement for landscaped open space, but retaining public benefit through restricting construction over 10m for public car parking only.

The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this direction as it allows that land use on the relevant land and imposes development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in the principal instrument being amended.

**RECOMMENDATION:** The Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES AND DEEMED STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (INFRASTRUCTURE) 2007 The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with the aims of this State Environmental Planning Policy.

GREATER METROPOLITAN REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN No. 2 - GEORGES RIVER CATCHMENT

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with the aims of Regional Environmental Plan.

# Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal contains a current and proposed draft Town Centre Precinct Map and a current and proposed draft Height of Buildings Map for the site.

# Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council notes that community consultation will be carried out as conditioned by the Gateway determination.

# **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

# Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

# To Amend the Height Controls at Dutton Lane Car Park, Cabramatta

oposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : May 2013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 was notified on 17 May 2013.
Assessment Criteria	a
Need for planning proposal :	The proposal was prepared in response to a growing demand for improved facilities consistent with Council's Town Centre Improvement Program upgrades.
	The Fairfield 'Our Home, Our City, Our Future' Community Consultation Report 2012 highlighted the community's consistent identification of improved car parking as a significant issue, which placed it in the top four priority issues. The Cabramatta community has previously written to the Minister for Planning opposing development on the Dutton Lane Car Park site, citing shortage of car parking in Cabramatta town centre.
	Council's current Delivery Program 2013-2017 includes the new initiative of developing an Integrated Parking Strategy for the City. The proposal is in accordance with early directions developed for the Integrated Parking Strategy. The budget allocation for Fairfield's Operational Plan 2015-2016 incorporates the Dutton Lane Redevelopment project.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY Council is required to give effect to A Plan for Growing Sydney under section 75AI of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	GOAL 3: A great place to live with communities that are strong, healthy and well connected.
	Direction 3.1: Revitalise existing suburbs. The site is located in the Cabramatta Town Centre. Further redevelopment of the site will help revitalise the existing centre, supporting local retail and busines uses.
	Direction 3.3: Create healthy built environments. The proposal addresses Directions 3.1 and 3.3 by supporting the viability of the Cabramatta town centre and encourage social interaction and create a sense of community, all of which will contribute to the health and wellbeing of the community.
	GOAL 4: A sustainable and resilient city that protects the natural environment and has a balanced approach to the use of land and resources.
	Direction 4.3: Manage the impacts of development on the environment. The proposal addresses Direction 4.3 by consolidating existing community infrastructure through developing an existing car parking site, rather than identifying a separate site, in order to minimise environmental impacts.
	WEST CENTRAL SUBREGION - DRAFT SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY 2007 Cabramatta is classified as a Potential Major Centre under the West Central Subregion - Draft Subregional Strategy 2007. The proposal is consistent with Action B4.2 - Support Centres With Transport Infrastructure and Services. Although the Action focuses mainly on public transport, the overall goal is supported by the need for additional car parking in Cabramatta town centre, as identified by Fairfield's Delivery Program 2013-2017 and Operational Plan 2015-2016.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL

ł

#### Threatened Species

The subject land has not been identified as containing critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. As the subject land is situated in an existing business and retail area, it is considered that an environmental assessment is not required.

#### **Traffic and Amenity**

The review of the proposal by GLN Planning Consultants identified three potential environmental impacts as a result of the proposed amendment, being traffic, overshadowing and visual impact.

#### Traffic

The Traffic and Transport Study submitted by Council found that the proposal has the potential to result in 47 additional weekday peak hour and 90 weekend peak hour vehicle trips to and from the site. The study concluded that the surrounding network provides adequate capacity to accommodate the minor potential increase in traffic generation.

Roads and Maritime Services requested the preparation of a Transport Management and Accessibility Plan (TMAP) for Cabramatta. The TMAP project is incomplete. Although the TMAP is likely to propose an increase in parking on the fringes of Cabramatta town centre, Roads and Maritime Services have no current plans or budget to implement any required infrastructure upgrades. As such, the proposal will address the overall goal to provide more car parking in the immediate future in Cabramatta with minor traffic impacts.

Although the Traffic and Transport Study, prepared by Thompson Stanbury and submitted by Council, concludes that the network has capacity to accommodate the minor increase in traffic, GLN Planning's review of the proposal recommends that a further traffic assessment is carried out, as the data referred to in Thompson Stanbury's study is based on data included in the Traffic Impact Assessment for the 2013 Development Application applying to the site. The additional traffic assessment can be broad and should address the alterations proposed to access routes to the Dutton Lane site.

Council endorsed the preparation of an additional traffic assessment in their resolution of 10 November 2015. It is considered that a condition requiring an additional traffic study should be included in the Gateway determination.

#### Amenity - Overshadowing and Visual Impact

The Development Control Plan Part B Height Precinct 2 Development Controls provide that a building on the site must not significantly increase overshadowing of public areas between the hours of 9am to 3pm during the months of June and July.

Council, as the applicant, provided some assessment of overshadowing and visual impacts through inclusion of Concept Drawings of elevations and shadowing with the planning proposal, however GLN Planning considers that further assessment is warranted. As Council contends that a building of 16m can be constructed on the site (under the provisions of Clause 7.3(5)), Council is claiming that a building of 13m would have a lesser impact. The Department supports GLN Planning's view that a further assessment is required that compares the impact and overshadowing of a 13m high building across the entire site with a building of 10m or a building of 16m located across 50% of the site where impacts would be least.

Council endorsed the preparation of additional amenity assessment in their resolution of 10 November 2015. It is considered that a condition requiring an additional amenity study should be conditioned in the Gateway determination.

#### SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC

Council has identified the following social and economic benefits that would result from the amendment:

(a) increasing the supply of car parking to improve the viability of the Cabramatta town centre

(b) contributing towards the social and economic wellbeing of the Cabramatta community

(c) a short term increase in jobs during construction of the development.

Council's opinion that no negative social and economic impacts are likely to occur is supported.

#### HERITAGE

GLN Planning's review of the proposal includes a reference that Council's Heritage Advisor is to comment on the proposal due to proximity of the site to the Pai Lau Gateway, a listed local heritage Item. GLN Planning considers that the potential for heritage impacts is minimal and can be considered with comments received during the exhibition period.

Council makes no specific mention of heritage issues in their planning proposal, although reference is made to the potential visual impact in relation to the Pai Lau Gateway and Freedom Plaza. Council's resolution of 10 November 2015 endorses GLN Planning's recommendation to carry out a heritage study. It is considered that GLN Planning's recommendation and Council's resolution should be supported.

# **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	Nil		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Transport for NSW - R	oads and	Maritime Services			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No				
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes				
If no, provide reasons :						
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :					
•	Heritage Other - provide details below If Other, provide reasons :					
1. Heritage Study 2. Traffic Study 3. Visual Impact and ov	ershadowing		17			
ADDITIONAL STUDIES Council has advised that, although the planning proposal is supported in principle, Council has requested that the following studies be prepared prior to public exhibition.						
Traffic Council considers that the impact of increased traffic on Dutton Lane and surrounding laneways has not been fully addressed as part of the planning proposal.						
Heritage The subject land is situated adjacent to Cabramatta's Freedom Plaza. The entrance to the Plaza is framed by the Pai Lau Gate which is listed on the local heritage register as being of historical significance. The potential impact on the Gate was not considered as part of the planning proposal.						

# To Amend the Height Controls at Dutton Lane Car Park, Cabramatta

## **Overshadowing and Visual Impact**

An initial study has been prepared to identify the overshadowing impact of raising the height of the car parking building. Council considers that further analysis of overshadowing and visual impacts is necessary before proceeding to exhibition.

It is considered that the additional studies requested by Council should be required as conditions of the Gateway determination.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

# No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

# Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Cover Letter from Council - 1 Dec 2015.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Council Resolution and Report - 10 Nov 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes
GLN Planning Review of Planning Proposal - 5 Nov	Proposal	Yes
2015.pdf		
Elton Consulting Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Draft Maps - Dutton Lane Car Park.pdf	Мар	Yes
Council Resolution - 6 Oct 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Traffic and Transport Study - 30 Sept 2015.pdf	Study	Yes
Concept Plans - Dutton Lane Car Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Reconfiguration Plans - Dutton Lane Car Park.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Proposed changes to DCP.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Planning Team Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

r roparation of the plant						
	S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.3 Site Specific Provisions				
	Additional Information :	It is recommended that an amendment to amend height controls at the Dutton Lane Car Park, Cabramatta, proceed subject to the following conditions:				
		1. Council is to undertake the following studies prior to public exhibition:				
		(a) Heritage assessment; (b) Traffic assessment; and (c) Assessment of overshadowing and visual impact.				
		2. For the purposes of public exhibition Council's planning proposal should be clearly				
		identified as the key proposal on which the Gateway determination is authorised. Elton Consulting's proposal and GLN Planning's proposal review should be identified as				
		supplementary documents. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ('EP&A Act') as follows:				
		(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).				
		to Freparing LEF's (Department of Flamming & Inflastructure 2010).				

т

29 Feb 2016 03:29 pm

Amend the Height C	Controls at Dutton Lane Car Park, Cabramatta
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authority under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
	• Roads and Maritime Services
×	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal has merit as it will assist in meeting the need for additional parking provision within Cabramatta town centre.
Signature:	Denyn Jolin
Printed Name:	DERRYN JOHN Date: 29 FEBRUARY 2016

i

i